



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/700,489		11/05/2003	Jack Huang	HUAN3213CIP/REF	6285	
23364	7590	05/18/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BACON 625 SLAT		MAS, PLLC	ман, сн	MAH, CHUCK Y		
FOURTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXAN	DRIA,	VA 22314	3676			
				DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/700,489	HUANG, JACK	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chuck Mah	3676	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this commun ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	This action is non-final.	Mana mana sant'a sa ta ti	., .
3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un			its is
	dei Ex parte Quayle, 1000 C.	D. 11, 433 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	indrawn from consideration.		
6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers	·		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	eminer		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		hy the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection t			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c			I21(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		Application No	-
 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B 	priority documents have been		е
* See the attached detailed Office action for		t received.	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 	4) L Interview 8) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Off	ice Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 200	040513

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 12, "a first end securely connected to the sleeve" is confusing because "securely connected" is generally considered as having no relative movement in between. The operation rod "securely connected" to the sleeve would render the device inoperable. In fact, as disclosed by the invention, the operation rod is movable at least axially relative to the sleeve. For clarity, applicant is suggested using "non-rotatably" in place of "securely".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toledo (5,829,097) in view of Bienek (5,802,670).

Application/Control Number: 10/700,489

Art Unit: 3676

'097 discloses the invention as claimed but for the pressure regulating mechanism. '670 teaches a pressure regulating mechanism located exteriorly of the casing for easy adjustment of the spring load inside the casing. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the door closer of '097 with a pressure regulating mechanism as taught by '670 to enhance an easy adjustment of the spring load located inside the casing.

As to the groove-boss engagement to allow synchronized movement between the rotation rod and the piston (claim 2), '670 forms hexagonal engaging parts, splined parts or other non-rotational connection on the operation rod (12) and the sleeve (2) to permit synchronized movement. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the rod and sleeve with a groove and a boss, since applicant has not disclosed that the groove-boss engagement solves any stated problem or is for any other particular purpose and it appears that the hexagonal engagement as taught by '670 would perform equally well. *In re Kuhle, 188 USPQ 7*.

As to claim 3, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the tool-receiving portion of the end member (13) of '670 with a polygonal recess or whatever form or shape was desired or expedient. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. *In re Dailey et al., 149 USPQ 47.*

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3676

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Mah whose telephone number is (703) 308-0676. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4-9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on (703) 308-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chuck Mah Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676

CM